



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,612	09/08/2000	Lester D. Nelson	FXPL-01027US0 MCF/KJD	3385
23910	7590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
	MEYER, LLP ARCADERO CENTER	BARNIE, REXFORD N		
SUITE 400	ARCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		2643	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fn

Advisory Action

		- 1	1
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/658,612	NELSON ET AL.	0	~
Examiner	 Art Unit	Ú	
REXFORD N BARNIE	2643		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensio fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensio fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other: Rumie
REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the explanation as set forth in the rejection of the claimed subject matter is believe proper and permissible..

Application/Control Number: 09/658,612

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 04/21/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive..

The applicant argued that the held-party not the hold-initiating party could transmit a continuation message to indicate a predetermined DTMF tone is needed to deactivate a recording.

The examiner agrees but the examiner would like to point out to the applicant that the claim does not specify whether a calling party or called party would provide the user interaction or who the remote listener may be.

The applicant argued that the prior art of record (Katz) fails to teach an "element representing an audible utterance for a remote listener in an ongoing conversation"

The examiner disagrees because during an ongoing conversation, a message generator can generate and activate a message to be played to a party participating in a conversation.

The applicant argued that there is no ongoing conversation involved in the prior art of record.

The examiner strongly disagrees with the applicant because pausing briefly while talking during a conversation and relaying a recorded message to one of the parties involved in a conversation and then resuming talking again would still read on an ongoing conversation.

The applicant argued that there is no question-answer interaction between two parties involved in a conversation in (see page 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/658,612

Art Unit: 2643

This feature is not directed to the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE

05/04/04

REXFORD BARNIE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3